

Guidance: Complaints that are unlikely to succeed

Te reo Māori

The Authority does not consider the use of te reo Māori, an official language, in broadcasts raises any issues of broadcasting standards and will decline to determine such complaints. Its use is a matter of editorial discretion. Broadcasters are not expected to respond formally to complaints about te reo Māori (however this does not apply to other categories discussed below).

KS and Television New Zealand Ltd, Decision No. 2020-135

Low-level language

Isolated instances of low-level bad language will rarely breach standards, particularly when aired during programmes rated PG or above, or during news bulletins. Words and phrases considered to be low-level bad language include 'crap', 'bloody', 'bugger', 'wanker', 'pissed off' and 'shit'. While these words may not be everyone's language of choice, they have become commonly used. They are unlikely to surprise or offend a significant number of listeners, however this may occur in some cases, eg when used frequently or in an aggressive manner.

Franklin and Discovery NZ Ltd, Decision No. 2022-113 (shit)

Allison and Discovery NZ Ltd, Decision No. 2020-142 (wanker)

Richards and Radio New Zealand Ltd, Decision No. 2018-006 (shit)

Harvey and Television New Zealand Ltd, Decision No. 2020-023 (bugger)

McCaughan and Discovery NZ Ltd, Decision No. 2020-165 (pissed off)

<u>McCaughan and MediaWorks TV Ltd, Decision No. 2016-062</u> (piece of piss, bullshit, shit)

Jones and Discovery NZ Ltd, Decision No. 2023-006 (bloody)

Lockyer and Television New Zealand Ltd, Decision No. 2012-089 (crap, pissed off)

Blasphemy

The Authority acknowledges that when broadcasts feature exclamations of words associated with 'God', 'Jesus', 'Christ', 'Hell' and the Christian faith, some people might find this offensive. However, these words are not considered to be coarse language and in our modern secular society have become widely used as part of everyday speech. The Authority has consistently found variations of 'Jesus' and 'Christ' used as exclamations do not threaten widely shared community standards.

Bruce-Phillips and Television New Zealand Ltd, Decision No. 2020-092 (Jesus)

McKane and Television New Zealand Ltd, Decision No. 2020-118 (god, holy crap)

Nixey and NZME Radio Ltd, Decision No. 2020-037 (satirical segment re Christian figures)

Balance (over time)

The balance standard does not require that every possible view on a complex issue be contained within one broadcast. A key consideration for the Authority when considering a complaint under this standard is whether viewers could reasonably be expected to be aware of views expressed in other coverage, including other media (ie is it an ongoing topic of debate). The Authority rarely upholds complaints under the balance standard that a broadcaster is only presenting a particular point of view if the topic has been widely discussed elsewhere from a variety of perspectives.

Watkin and Radio New Zealand Ltd, Decision No. 2022-091

Egan and Discovery NZ Ltd, Decision No. 2021-002

Garrett and Radio New Zealand Ltd, Decision No. 2021-073

Personal Preference

It is common for the Authority to decline to determine any complaint regarding the type of programming a complainant would prefer to be included or not included by broadcasters. This is a matter of editorial discretion and personal preference. The Authority's role is to consider complaints about whether content broadcast has breached broadcasting standards, not to change broadcasters' content to what a complainant may wish to see included.

Wratt and Mediaworks TV Ltd, Decision No. 2019-031

Malcolm and Radio New Zealand Ltd, Decision No. 2016-018

Sheerin and Mediaworks TV Ltd, Decision No. ID2017-022

Fairness applied to politicians/public figures

The threshold for finding a breach of the fairness standard in relation to politicians and public figures is higher than for someone unfamiliar with the media. Politicians and public figures hold a position in society where robust questioning and scrutiny of their policy, roles and behaviour is encouraged and expected. They are frequently capable interviewees, experienced in handling aggressive or inflammatory questioning or other coverage that may be considered unfair for an ordinary person.

Lindsay and Radio New Zealand Ltd, Decision No. 2022-003

Robinson and Discovery NZ Ltd, Decision No. 2021-133

Watkins & Yardley and Radio New Zealand Ltd, Decision No. 2022-142

Frewen and Television New Zealand Ltd, Decision No. 2020-146B

Bowkett and Discovery NZ Ltd, Decision No. 2020-103

Balance – Coverage of politicians

The choice by broadcasters to include or exclude particular politicians or election candidates in programmes (including pre-election debates, interviews or other coverage) is not a breach of broadcasting standards in and of itself. For example, a debate amongst political candidates will not breach standards solely on the basis that a minor party candidate was not included. Broadcasters, as a part of their right to freedom of expression, have the right to:

- limit programme participants provided the selection can be justified in terms of balance and fairness (eg a limit reasonably applied to meet programme time constraints)
- present items from a particular perspective, or feature particular perspectives in their programming provided standards are otherwise complied with.

Balance standard requirements reflect the current media landscape with information and opportunities to learn about different politicians and perspectives typically available from multiple sources. Audiences are not dependent on any one programme for all of their political information/analysis.

<u>Crawford and Television New Zealand Ltd, Decision No. 2009-093</u> (debate participants limited due to time constraints)

<u>The Christian Heritage Party and Television New Zealand Ltd, Decision No. 2002-</u> <u>173</u> (debate participants limited to leaders of parties represented in the outgoing Parliament)

<u>Steele and Television New Zealand Ltd, Decision No. 2022-104</u> (MP depicted in human interest piece)

Content not appropriate for children (Free-to-Air TV)

The 16 & over watershed occurs at 8.30pm on Free-to-Air TV (it does not apply to Pay TV). Content rated 16 may be broadcast from this time and material rated 18 may be screened from 9.30pm. The Authority recognises that this is outside of children's normal viewing times, even on weekends or during school holidays. Content appropriate for adults may include adult themes, language, violence and sexual content. A higher level of this content is permissible at later time slots. The time a programme is aired, classification it is given, warnings aired before it is broadcast, descriptions of the programme in TV guides and parental control options give audiences choice and control over what they wish to view. The Authority has consistently not upheld complaints about strong adult content being broadcast outside of children's viewing times when these tools aiding choice and control are available. More information about timebands and classifications can be found here.

Fransen and Discovery NZ Ltd, Decision No. 2020-122

Millar and Discovery NZ Ltd, 2021-064

McMurchy and Television New Zealand, Decision No. 2020-014

Barnao and Mediaworks TV Ltd, Decision No. 2019-002

Ross and Māori Television Service, Decision No. 2017-045

Sections of the community protected from discrimination

Complaints under the discrimination and denigration standard must relate to a particular section of the community defined by reference to the group's shared **sex**, **sexual orientation**, **race**, **age**, **disability**, **occupational status or legitimate expression of religion**, **culture or political belief**. The Authority has previously held the standard is not applicable to the following broad (non-homogenous) groups:

- people who 'aren't Māori'
- people who oppose vaccines or other COVID-19 measures
- people participating in particular sports or hobbies.

O'Sullivan and Television New Zealand Ltd, Decision No. 2022-138 (People who are not tangata whenua)

<u>McCracken and Radio New Zealand Ltd, Decision No. 2022-099</u> (opposition to vaccines and other COVID-19 measures)

Cycling Action Network and NZME Radio Ltd, Decision No. 2021-092; and McKenzie and Television New Zealand Ltd, Decision No. 2022-141 (sports and hobbies)

For more detail, see our guidance on the BSA's <u>power to decline to determine a</u> <u>complaint</u>. If you're not sure whether we cover your complaint, please <u>contact us</u> so we can help connect you to the right place.