

Following on from *TV3 Network Services Ltd v BSA and others*, the Authority was not able to determine the complaint and order the plaintiff to publish corrective material. The 2nd and 3rd respondents sought to amend their statement of claim in the High Court for a mandatory order for publication of corrective material.

TV3 Network Services Ltd v Eveready and Home & Safety – CA 237/92, 29 April 1993

This appeal followed the appeal *TV3 Network Services Ltd v BSA and others* (CP 527/91, 31 October 1991, see below) and considered the power of court to make a mandatory order for publication of corrective material (normally a BSA function).

Eveready (the appellants here) amended their statement of claim to add this relief – they had hoped that the BSA decision would enable the BSA to exercise their power to order TV3 to publish an appropriate statement, but this was no longer to happen because of the previous High Court decision (CA 237/92).

Initially this was struck off, but on appeal the majority allowed this amendment to the statement of claim.

The defamation case was not pursued after this.
